

Faculty Review of Open eTextbooks

The California Open Educational Resources Council has designed and implemented a faculty review process of the free and open etextbooks showcased within the California Open Online Library for Education (www.cool4ed.org). Faculty from the California Community Colleges, the California State University, and the University of California were invited to review the selected free and open etextboks using a rubric. Faculty received a stipend for their efforts and funding was provided by the State of California, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Textbook Name: Media Studies 101

Media Studies 101




Textbook Authors:

License: Θ CC BY

Media Studies 101 by Dr. Erika Pearson, et. al., is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution

Find it: eTextbook Website



Date Reviewed:

Format

Reviewed: Online

August 2015

California OER Council eTextbook Evaluation Rubric

CA Course ID: JOUR 100

Subject Matter (30 possible points)	N/A (0 pts)	Very Weak (1pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
b the content accurate, error-free, and unbiased?				х		
Does the text adequately cover the designated course					х	
with a sufficient degree of depth and scope?					~	
Does the textbook use sufficient and relevant examples				v		
to present its subject matter?				^		

Does the textbook use a clear, consistent terminology to present its subject matter?		х		
Does the textbook reflect current knowledge of the subject matter?			x	
Does the textbook present its subject matter in a culturally sensitive manner? (e.g. Is the textbook free of offensive and insensitive examples? Does it include examples that are inclusive of a variety of races, ethnicities, and backgrounds?)		x		

Total Points: 20 out of 30

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook:

- The book lacks depth and the chapters are short.
- Many of the examples would be outside the scope for our American audience (it says on the opening page that this is for those in New Zealand, Australia, and Pacifica. I'm unclear as to why this is even under consideration).

Instructional Design (35 possible points)	N/A	Very Weak	Limited	Adequate	Strong	Superior
Instructional Design (55 possible points)	(0 pts)	(1pt)	ot) (2 pts) (3pts)		(4 pts)	(5 pts)
Does the textbook present its subject materials at				х		
appropriate reading levels for undergrad use?				^		
Does the textbook reflect a consideration of different			x			
learning styles? (e.g. visual, textual?)			^			
Does the textbook present explicit learning outcomes				х		
aligned with the course and curriculum?				^		
Is a coherent organization of the textbook evident to the				х		
reader/student?				^		
Does the textbook reflect best practices in the instruction			x			
of the designated course?			^			
Does the textbook contain sufficient effective ancillary						
materials? (e.g. test banks, individual and/or group	Х					
activities or exercises, pedagogical apparatus, etc.)						
Is the textbook searchable?			Х			

Total Points: 15 out of 35

Please provide comments on any aspect of the instructional design of this textbook:

• The site of the text is hard to follow. There are multiple formats, but due to that it's hard to find the "right" way to access the material.

Editorial Aspects (25 possible points)	N/A (0 pts)	Very Weak (1pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
Is the language of the textbook free of grammatical, spelling, usage, and typographical errors?					х	
Is the textbook written in a clear, engaging style?				Х		
Does the textbook adhere to effective principles of design? (e.g. are pages latid0out and organized to be clear and visually engaging and effective? Are colors,			х			
font, and typography consistent and unified?) Does the textbook include conventional editorial features? (e.g. a table of contents, glossary, citations and further references)			х			
How effective are multimedia elements of the textbook? (e.g. graphics, animations, audio)			х			

Total Points: 13 out of 25

Please provide comments on any editorial aspect of this textbook.

• There are too many options. This makes it difficult to navigate.

Usability (25 possible points)	N/A (0 pts)	Very Weak (1pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
Is the textbook compatible with standard and commonly available hardware/software in college/university campus student computer labs?			х			
Is the textbook accessible in a variety of different electronic formats? (e.gtxt, .pdf, .epub, etc.)				х		
Can the textbook be printed easily?			Х			

Does the user interface implicitly inform the reader how to interact with and navigate the textbook?		х		
How easily can the textbook be annotated by students and instructors?	х			

Total Points: 10 out of 25

Please provide comments on any aspect of access concerning this textbook.

• That also being said, it took some time to download the PDFs and load the page format online even with a T-1 internet connection.

Overall Ratings						
	Not at all (0 pts)	Very Weak (1 pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3 pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
What is your overall impression of the textbook?			x			
	Not at all (0 pts)	Strong reservations (1 pt)	Limited willingness (2 pts)	Willing (3 pts)	Strongly willing (4 pts)	Enthusiastically willing (5 pts)
How willing would you be to adopt this book?		х				

Total Points: 3 out of 10

Overall Comments

If you were to recommend this textbook to colleagues, what merits of the textbook would you highlight?

• I wouldn't recommend this "book".

What areas of this textbook require improvement in order for it to be used in your courses?

• While wanting a culturally sensitive book, there need to be examples that I don't have to explain. That's why there are examples. The navigation is much too problematic.

We invite you to add your feedback on the textbook or the review to <u>the textbook site in MERLOT</u> (Please <u>register</u> in MERLOT to post your feedback.)



For questions or more information, contact the <u>CA Open Educational Resources Council</u>.



This review is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.